



## COLORADO CONSERVATION ALLIANCE

June 5, 2026

**RE: Docket No. FWS-R6-ES-2026-0958**

Director Brian Nesvik  
U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service  
1849 C Street, NW  
Washington, DC 20240

Dear Director Nesvik,

This opportunity to communicate with U.S. Fish and Wildlife Service (USFWS) regarding Colorado's Gray Wolf reintroduction is greatly appreciated, and I have important information to convey which I'm certain is not known by USFWS or others. It connects to every aspect of your inquiry and request for comments, although the focus is on implementation of the 10(j) rule and related communications. The serious nature of this information merited an in-depth study and professional report which is included with this letter from Colorado Conservation Alliance and was commissioned in cooperation with the Colorado Independent CattleGrowers Association (CICA).

At virtually every meeting and in most written communications the public is repeatedly informed by CPW that the stakeholder process to consider the appropriateness of Gray Wolf reintroduction was achieved by voting on Proposition 114 in 2020. The same was parroted by USFWS officials under Biden. The message has been both constant and assertive, Colorado's wolf program is "*the will of the people*" because Proposition 114 passed, and Proposition 114 mandated wolf reintroduction.

There are also hundreds of references to Colorado's 2020 Proposition 114 ballot action in the federal register announcements, in 10(j) documents, and in CPW's *Colorado Wolf Restoration and Management Plan*. According to USFWS and CPW, wolves have been imported to Colorado because the people voted for it and nothing can be done to abruptly or reverse this official decision.

Therefore, if USFWS is concerned to know how CPW has communicated and managed the 10(j) implementation which Proposition 114 mandated, then USFWS should also be concerned to know Proposition 114—the validation behind Colorado's entire wolf program—did in not pass with a 1.8% margin—it failed! The result of Prop 114 was a 5.7% margin in favor of the NO vote.

Attached is the analyst report which provides data to confirm Colorado's Proposition 114 did not pass. The analyst is a former Army Captain of Military Intelligence, and his work has been praised by President Donald J. Trump, and others in the arena of governance and politics. The report includes recommendation for a forensic analysis of El Paso County's Cast Vote Record (CVR), which would be an efficient validation step should USFWF need independent confirmation.

Federal staff time and federal dollars have been spent on an action that was not authorized as CPW, other Colorado officials, and Governor Jared Polis in particular have asserted. At minimum, USFWS should reconsider the MOU with CPW pending verification of the Proposition 114 report. I believe my personal contact information is currently available within the Department of Interior, or through Chairman Bruce Westerman's office in House Natural Resources, if needed.

Respectfully,

Michael J. Clark

*Chairman, Colorado Conservation Alliance - CEO, Petrox Resources, Inc.*